Supercurrent through grain boundaries in the presence of strong correlations Kolloquium zur gleichnamigen Masterarbeit

F. Alexander Wolf

Universität Augsburg

12 July 2011

Contents









Results for the current through grain boundaries

Experimental fact



Exponential reduction of critical supercurrent j_c w.r.t. increasing grain boundary misalignment angle

see e.g. review by Hilgenkamp and Mannhart, RMP (2002)



Experimental fact



Exponential reduction of critical supercurrent j_c w.r.t. increasing grain boundary misalignment angle

see e.g. review by Hilgenkamp and Mannhart, RMP (2002)



Practical motivation

- Largest application of conventional superconductors in the form of superconducting wires for magnets (e.g. in magnetic resonance imaging machines)
- High-temperature superconductors not usable for this purpose due to exponential reduction of current at GBs



Microscopic modeling of current suppression already by

Graser, Hirschfeld, Kopp, Gutser, Andersen, and Mannhart, Nat. Phys. (2010)



Microscopic modeling of current suppression already by

Graser, Hirschfeld, Kopp, Gutser, Andersen, and Mannhart, Nat. Phys. (2010)

Reason for suppresion

charge fluctuations (not e.g. suppression of tunneling amplitude)



Microscopic modeling of current suppression already by

Graser, Hirschfeld, Kopp, Gutser, Andersen, and Mannhart, Nat. Phys. (2010)

Reason for suppresion

charge fluctuations (not e.g. suppression of tunneling amplitude)

But still: Quantitatively wrong predictions (current one order of magnitude to large) Speculation: strong correlations responsible?



Microscopic modeling of current suppression already by

Graser, Hirschfeld, Kopp, Gutser, Andersen, and Mannhart, Nat. Phys. (2010)

Reason for suppresion

charge fluctuations (not e.g. suppression of tunneling amplitude)

But still: Quantitatively wrong predictions (current one order of magnitude to large) Speculation: strong correlations responsible?

Main question of this thesis

Is it possible to model the suppression with a simple approach to strong correlations, the Gutzwiller approximation? If yes, what are the results?

What could happen?

- Qualitatively: Decay still exponential or stronger?
- Quantitatively: Reduction in which order of magnitude (augmentation not likely)?

Overview of model system



Graser, Hirschfeld, Kopp, Gutser, Andersen, and Mannhart, Nat. Phys. (2010)

Current transport pattern



Overview of model system



Graser, Hirschfeld, Kopp, Gutser, Andersen, and Mannhart, Nat. Phys. (2010)

Results for the angle dependence of the critical current



Contents





2 Gutzwiller approximation for strongly inhomogeneous systems





$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{Hubbard}} = -\sum_{\langle ij
angle s} t_{ij} (c^{\dagger}_{is}c_{js} + \mathsf{h.c.}) + U\sum_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - rac{1}{2}) (\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - rac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition for superconducting groundstate of cuprates Anderson, Science (1987)

$$|\psi
angle \equiv |\mathsf{RVB}
angle \equiv \mathcal{P}|\psi
angle_0$$
 where $|\psi_0
angle \equiv |\mathsf{BCS}
angle \equiv \prod_{\mathbf{k}} (u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}}c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow})|\mathsf{vac}
angle$
 $\mathcal{P} \equiv \prod_i (1 - \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow})$

Focus on the *t*-*J*-model in this thesis



$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{Hubbard}} = -\sum_{\langle ij
angle s} t_{ij} (c^{\dagger}_{is}c_{js} + \mathsf{h.c.}) + U\sum_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - rac{1}{2}) (\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - rac{1}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition for superconducting groundstate of cuprates Anderson, Science (1987)

$$|\psi\rangle \equiv |\mathsf{RVB}\rangle \equiv \mathcal{P}|\psi\rangle_0$$
 where $|\psi_0\rangle \equiv |\mathsf{BCS}\rangle \equiv \prod_{\mathbf{k}} (u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}}c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow})|\mathsf{vac}\rangle$
 $\mathcal{P} \equiv \prod_i (1 - \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow})$

Focus on the *t*-*J*-model in this thesis

$$H = -\sum_{\langle ij
angle s} t_{ij} (c^{\dagger}_{is} c_{js} + \text{h.c.}) + J \sum_{\langle ij
angle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$

Gutzwiller approximation for homogeneous systems wike

Evaluation of $|\psi\rangle \equiv |\text{RVB}\rangle \equiv \mathcal{P}|\psi\rangle_0$ using the Gutzwiller approximation (i.e. the assumption of complete statistical independence of site populations)

Gutzwiller, Phys. Rev. (1965)

Idea of Zhang, Gros, Rice, and Shiba, Supercond. Sci. Technol. (1988)

Employ Gutzwiller approximation for the RVB state in the derivation of an effective one-particle hamiltonian for the *t*-*J*-model

For that use expressions obtained in the thermodynamic limit

$$\langle c^{\dagger}_{is}c_{js}
angle^{
m Gutzw.}_{\simeq} g^t(n)\langle c^{\dagger}_{is}c_{js}
angle_0 \ , \qquad g^t(n)\equiv rac{2(1-n)}{2-n}$$

$$\langle {\bf S}_i \cdot {\bf S}_j \rangle \stackrel{{
m Gutzw.}}{\simeq} g^J(n) \langle {\bf S}_i \cdot {\bf S}_j \rangle_0 \quad , \qquad g^J(n) \equiv rac{4}{(2-n)^2}$$

Gutzwiller approximation for inhomogeneous systems win

Wang, Wang, Chen, and Zhang, Phys. Rev. B (2006) extended formalism to inhomogeneous systems

$$\mathcal{P}\equiv\prod_i\mathcal{P}_i$$
 where $\mathcal{P}_i\equiv y_i^{\hat{n}_i}(1-D_i)$ where $D_i\equiv \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}$

This projection operator leads to the renormalization

$$g_{ij}^{t} \equiv g_{i}^{t}g_{j}^{t}$$
 where $g_{i}^{t} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2(1-n_{i})}{(2-n_{i})}}$
 $g_{ij}^{J} \equiv g_{i}^{J}g_{j}^{J}$ where $g_{i}^{J} \equiv \frac{2}{2-n_{i}}$

But: What to use for the description of electron doped systems?

Gutzwiller approx. for strongly inhom. systems

Wolf, Graser, Loder, and Kopp, arXiv:1106.5759 (2011)

$$g_i^t \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2|1-n_i|}{|1-n_i|+1}}$$

$$g_i^J \equiv \frac{2}{|1-n_i|+1}$$

Gutzwiller approx. for strongly inhom. systems

Wolf, Graser, Loder, and Kopp, arXiv:1106.5759 (2011)

$$g_i^t \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2|1-n_i|}{|1-n_i|+1}} \equiv \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-n_i)}{(2-n_i)}} & \text{if } n_i \le 1\\ \sqrt{\frac{2(n_i-1)}{n_i}} & \text{if } n_i > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$g_i^J \equiv \frac{2}{|1-n_i|+1} \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{2}{2-n_i} & \text{if } n_i \leq 1\\ \frac{2}{n_i} & \text{if } n_i > 1 \end{cases}$$

Gutzwiller approx. for strongly inhom. systems

Wolf, Graser, Loder, and Kopp, arXiv:1106.5759 (2011)

$$g_{i}^{t} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2|1-n_{i}|}{|1-n_{i}|+1}} \equiv \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-n_{i})}{(2-n_{i})}} & \text{if } n_{i} \leq 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2(n_{i}-1)}{n_{i}}} & \text{if } n_{i} > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$g_i^J \equiv rac{2}{|1-n_i|+1} \equiv \left\{egin{array}{c} rac{2}{2-n_i} & ext{if} & n_i \leq 1 \ rac{2}{n_i} & ext{if} & n_i > 1 \end{array}
ight.$$

This corresponds to the projection operator:

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \prod_{i} \mathcal{P}_{i} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{P}_{i} \equiv \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{i}^{d} \equiv y_{i}^{\hat{n}_{i}}(1 - D_{i}) & \text{if} \quad n_{i} \leq 1 \\ \mathcal{P}_{i}^{h} \equiv y_{i}^{\hat{n}_{i}}(1 - E_{i}) & \text{if} \quad n_{i} > 1 \end{cases}$$

Application to GB



$$\begin{split} H_{\text{GB}} &= -\sum_{ijs} (g_{ij}^{t} t_{ij} + \chi_{ij}^{*}) c_{is}^{\dagger} c_{js} \\ &- \sum_{ij} (\Delta_{ij} c_{j\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.}) - \sum_{i} \mu_{i} \hat{n}_{i} \\ \text{with} \quad \Delta_{ij} &\equiv (\frac{3}{4} g_{ij}^{J} + \frac{1}{4}) J_{ij} \widetilde{\Delta}_{ij}, \\ &\chi_{ij} &\equiv (\frac{3}{4} g_{ij}^{J} - \frac{1}{4}) J_{ij} \widetilde{\chi}_{ij}, \end{split}$$

Application to GB



$$\begin{split} H_{\text{GB}} &= -\sum_{ijs} (g_{ij}^{t} t_{ij} + \chi_{ij}^{*}) c_{is}^{\dagger} c_{js} \\ &- \sum_{ij} (\Delta_{ij} c_{j\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.}) - \sum_{i} \mu_{i} \hat{n}_{i} \\ \text{with} \quad \Delta_{ij} &\equiv (\frac{3}{4} g_{ij}^{J} + \frac{1}{4}) J_{ij} \widetilde{\Delta}_{ij}, \\ &\chi_{ij} &\equiv (\frac{3}{4} g_{ij}^{J} - \frac{1}{4}) J_{ij} \widetilde{\chi}_{ij}, \end{split}$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\langle c_{i\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} \rangle + \langle c_{j\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow} \rangle)$, $\widetilde{\chi}_{ij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\langle c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\uparrow} \rangle + \langle c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow} \rangle)$, $\mu_i \equiv \mu - \varepsilon_i$, and

$$g_i^t\equiv \sqrt{rac{2|1-n_i|}{|1-n_i|+1}}$$
 and $g_i^J\equiv rac{2}{|1-n_i|+1}$

Solved self-consistently using the Bogoliubov - de Gennes formalism.

Contents





Gutzwiller approximation for strongly inhomogeneous systems



Results for the current through grain boundaries

System parameters

Universität Augsburg University

Wolf, Graser, Loder, and Kopp, arXiv:1106.5759 (2011)



Supercurrent through grain boundaries



Wolf, Graser, Loder, and Kopp, arXiv:1106.5759 (2011)



Density distribution





16 / 18



Mechanism for current transport

Wolf, Graser, Loder, and Kopp, arXiv:1106.5759 (2011)





First Part - Theoretical methods

- Review of Gutzwiller approximation as employed within the BdG formalism
- Presentation of a particle-hole symmetric form of Gutzwiller factors



First Part - Theoretical methods

- Review of Gutzwiller approximation as employed within the BdG formalism
- Presentation of a particle-hole symmetric form of Gutzwiller factors

Second Part – Application to modeling of the GB problem

• Reduction of critical current of one order of magnitude as compared to standard Hartree-Fock calculation



First Part - Theoretical methods

- Review of Gutzwiller approximation as employed within the BdG formalism
- Presentation of a particle-hole symmetric form of Gutzwiller factors

- Reduction of critical current of one order of magnitude as compared to standard Hartree-Fock calculation
- Quantitative agreement of critical current with experiment



First Part - Theoretical methods

- Review of Gutzwiller approximation as employed within the BdG formalism
- Presentation of a particle-hole symmetric form of Gutzwiller factors

- Reduction of critical current of one order of magnitude as compared to standard Hartree-Fock calculation
- Quantitative agreement of critical current with experiment
- Current transport mechanism: Mott insulator driven reduction in areas in the neighborhood of the GB – regions around half filling



First Part - Theoretical methods

- Review of Gutzwiller approximation as employed within the BdG formalism
- Presentation of a particle-hole symmetric form of Gutzwiller factors

- Reduction of critical current of one order of magnitude as compared to standard Hartree-Fock calculation
- Quantitative agreement of critical current with experiment
- Current transport mechanism: Mott insulator driven reduction in areas in the neighborhood of the GB – regions around half filling
- Conclusion: enhance current carrying properties by further hole-doping



First Part - Theoretical methods

- Review of Gutzwiller approximation as employed within the BdG formalism
- Presentation of a particle-hole symmetric form of Gutzwiller factors

- Reduction of critical current of one order of magnitude as compared to standard Hartree-Fock calculation
- Quantitative agreement of critical current with experiment
- Current transport mechanism: Mott insulator driven reduction in areas in the neighborhood of the GB – regions around half filling
- Conclusion: enhance current carrying properties by further hole-doping



First Part - Theoretical methods

- Review of Gutzwiller approximation as employed within the BdG formalism
- Presentation of a particle-hole symmetric form of Gutzwiller factors

Second Part – Application to modeling of the GB problem

- Reduction of critical current of one order of magnitude as compared to standard Hartree-Fock calculation
- Quantitative agreement of critical current with experiment
- Current transport mechanism: Mott insulator driven reduction in areas in the neighborhood of the GB – regions around half filling
- Conclusion: enhance current carrying properties by further hole-doping

Thank you for your attention!